Database Antipatterns, and where to find them.

Christophe Pettus PGX Inc.

SCaLE 20x

Greetings.

- Hi, I'm Christophe.
 - CEO of PGX, a PostgreSQL consultancy.
- Happy PostgreSQL user since 1997.
- Here to help you wreck your database.

Why use antipatterns?

- Passive-Aggressive Response to Employer.
- General Rage Against the World.
- Fixing a Customer Complaint in Bizarro World. ("DB run too fast!")
- Or, You Actually Want to Improve Performance.

Method I: Entity-Attribute-Value

Schemas are for suckers.

EAV?

- A single table for highly heterogenous values.
- Generally has a foreign key ("entity"), a column for the entity's semantics ("attribute"), and a column for the value.

ENTITY	ATTRIBUTE	VALUE
12	NAME	Herman Munster
12	ADDRESS-1	1313 MOCKINGBIRD LANE

How does that kill peformance?

- Monstrous to join over.
- Makes it hard (if not impossible) to enforce consistency at the database level.
 - Everything's a string!
- Increases the number of tuples (and thus database overhead).

Then why do it?

- Frequently found in ports from old-skool databases.
- Handy for user-defined values in a packaged application.
 - Use JSON for that kind of data instead.
 - But avoid it if you can.

Method 2: Little Teeny Tuples

Why "best practice" isn't always.

Denormalization is Bad, Right?

- Right. Never do it.
- Never?
- No, never!
- NEVER?
- Well, hardly ever.

Let's Take an Example

- Primary table: 1.2 billion rows.
- Each row has a variable number of "attributes."
- Each attribute is a boolean (present/absent).
- 3-12 attributes per primary row.

So, we do this, right?

```
CREATE TABLE secondary (
    primary_row BIGINT REFERENCES primary(pk),
    attribute INTEGER REFERENCES attributes(pk)
) PRIMARY KEY (primary_row, attribute);
```

Why does that kill performance?

- Suddenly, we have a new table with 18+ billion rows.
- Have fun joining over that.
- Each row has a significant overhead.
- And then... indexes!

So, what should we do?

- Depends on the access model.
 - What's the selectivity of different attributes?
- intarray
- bit vector with indexes
- (whisper it) Use a different database type

Method 3: Date/Time Functions!

Even a broken timezone is right twice a year.

Pop Quiz!

What's interesting about this calculation?

```
• SELECT '2011-03-13
```

02:00'::TIMESTAMPTZ + '1

hour'::INTERVAL;

2 + 1 = 4!

?column?

2011-03-13 04:00:00-07

(1 row)

This is absolutely correct.

- PostgreSQL is correctly handling the time offset change.
- There is an unfortunate side-effect, though.
- If the timezone definition changes, the results of the functions can change, too.

This can be... surprising.

- Defeats queries on indexes.
- Defeats partition constraints.
- Hey, you could be doing a query at the exact moment a timezone shift happens!
 - No, really, it could happen.

So, what do to?

- Precalculate TIMESTAMPTZs before doing queries on them.
- Understand what this means in terms of your query ranges.
 - ... and be glad that PG isn't Oracle.

Method 4: Mix 'n' Match Info

Don't join! You'll kill yourself!

Base vs Derived Information.

- Base information are facts about the row that rarely change once created.
 - Name, date of birth, gender.
- Derived information is dynamic and changes frequently.
 - Last ordered, relationship status.

Slam it into one table!

- Everyone will need to write to the same row, all the time.
- Think of the fun you'll have debugging locking conflicts!
- It's even more exciting if multiple applications have different sets of derived information.

How does this kill performance?

- Deadlock, deadlock, deadlock.
- Single-file through the record.
- Different applications need to know each other's access patterns.

So, what do to?

- Separate derived information into a separate table.
- 1:1 relationship, so joining is efficient.
- Different applications are isolated, so fewer conflicts.

Method 5: Poll the Database

"Got anything for me? How about now? Huh, huh, c'mon, you must have something for me now..."

Databases are great!

- Simple API.
- Consistency.
- Crash recovery.
- Concurrency controls.
- Let's use them FOR EVERYTHING IN THE ENTIRE WORLD EVAR!

Like, Say, Task Queues!

- Producer inserts a task into a task queue table.
- Consumers poll the database looking for new work.
- Profit, right?

Often, no.

- High rates of polling crush the database.
- Low rates of polling make inefficient use of the consumers.
- It's actually quite hard to get the guarantees right.

What do to?

- Use a dedicated task queuing product for task queuing.
- Use LISTEN / NOTIFY instead of polling.
- Don't poll the database on a high duty cycle.

Method 6: Long Transactions

"This transaction has been open since July 2, 2001.

We call it 'Edward.'"

PostgreSQL Rocks Transactions.

- PostgreSQL has very light-weight transactions, compared to other highpowered databases.
- Remember the rollback buffer? Yeah, that was a lot of fun.
- But with great power comes great responsibility.

Don't Do This.

- User selects a record in a GUI application.
- Opens it for editing, opening a transaction.
- Goes to lunch.
- Decides to move to Croatia.
- Transaction is still open five months later.

What's the big deal?

- <IDLE INTRANSACTION>
- Holds system resources.
- Blocks VACUUM.
- Heaven help you if the transaction is holding locks.

"I'd never do that!"

- You probably wouldn't.
- But is your ORM, API library, or pooler on the same page?
- Monitor, monitor, monitor.

Method 7: The Single Row

"One row to rule them all, one row to find them..."

We all have them.

- "Settings."
- "Preferences."
- "Control information."
- "You know, that row. In the table. With the stuff."

It's all fun and games...

- Until someone holds a lock.
- And, suddenly, the database is singlethreaded.
- Or deadlocks start appearing left and right.

"I'd Never Do That!"

- Yeah, right.
- Do you really know what transaction model you are using?
 - Really?
- Particularly bad with ORMs that attempt to "help" you with transactions.

So, what to do?

- Don't hold a transaction open on singletons.
- Get in, say what you need to say, get out.
- Understand what transaction model your frameworks are giving you.

Method 8: Attack of the BLOB

"Magic Database Disk Access Powers, Activate!"

Devs Love Databases.

- Sometimes to death.
- "We want to store these images in a database."
- "How big are they?"
- "Oh, 64MB apiece."
- "Uh, why store them in the database?"

DATABASES ARE FAST!

- PostgreSQL doesn't have a special red phone to the underlying disk.
- It's not designed to handle very large objects, although it does a heroic job of it if you ask.
- There's no magic.

So, what do to?

- Every OS has a database optimized for the manipulation of large binary objects.
- It's called a "file system."
- Know it, use it.
- To be fair, databases do offer some advantages... but superior disk I/O isn't among them.

Method 9: Partitioning Disasters

Partitioning is a great and desperate cure.

Partitioning

- Using table inheritance to split a single table up into multiple children...
 - ... on the basis of a partitioning key.
- It can do amazing things for performance...

INTHE RIGHT SITUATION.

- Data can be divided into roughly-equal sized "buckets" based on the partitioning key.
- Queries tend to land in a (very) small number of those buckets.

PARTITIONING KILLS!

- ... in the wrong circumstances.
- Queries span large number of partitions.
- Partitions of extremely unequal size.
- Confusion about the data model.

So, what do we do?

- Partitioning is great...
- ... in the right situation.
- In the wrong one, it can make things much, much, MUCH worse.
 - The final partition merge can be the death of a query.

Method 10: Lots of Indexes

"If adding one index is good..."

Let's index EVERYTHING!

- What can go wrong?
- After all, if it never uses an index, what's the overhead?
 - (pause)
- Oh. That's the overhead, hm?

Good Indexes.

- High selectivity on common queries.
- Required to enforce constraints.

Bad Indexes.

- Pretty much everything else.
- Bad selectivity.
- Rarely used.
- Expensive to maintain compared to the query acceleration.
 - FTS particularly vulnerable to this.

Stupid Indexing Tricks

- Multi-level indexes.
 - Ordering is very important.
- Expensive functional indexes.
 - Small variations that defeat index usage.
- Redundant indexes.
 - PKs, text_pattern_ops

Questions?

Thanks.

christophe.pettus@pgexperts.com
@xof